G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

File No. 50 of 1889

Serial Nos. 1–10.

191 .

(Land Rev.)

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. (50)

Part B for

SUBJECT.

Inam land granted to Adinarayanabhatta and Seshabhatta of Vadigenahalli, Devanhalli Tq

---

Indexed

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

LR 50-89
88 1-10

Petition Nr. 1514 of the 8th October 1889 from Adi Narrania Bhatta and Ishesha Bhatta of Vadiya-nabutti, Davenhutti Taluk.

Docket Nr. 11 of the 3rd April 1890 from the Deputy Commr. Bangalore District submitting Proceedings in the case.

The facts of the case are as follow.

The Amildar of the Davenhutti Taluk, reported to the Survey Superintendent that one Narrayanapah purchased at an Auction Sale held Nr. 91 and 92 in the year

18 Dec 1886

year Parthiva 1865/86
for Rs: 95-1-"; that
during the Survey
these two hos being
combined with a
small portion of
Inam land old No
116 and also some
other Govt waste was
made into one Survey
No 4; that Survey No 95
now in possession
of one Ramaputh was
formed of old Nos 60,
61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 97 and
99 and also the
remaining portion
of the above Inam
(old) No 116; that this
Inam No 116 was
resumed for arrears

1

the matter through the
Dy Comr. - On reply
the Curnidar was
16 January 1887. ordered to submit
a Statement showing
the No. and extent of
the land to be sub-
-divided together with
the depositions of
Narrainpa and the
Inamdar - The Curnidar
4 March 1887. reported that the
Inamdar Chalama
stated that as only
a small portion of
the Inam was in-
-cluded in Survey
No. 4 she did not
want it but as a
large

large portion was included in Survey Nr. 45, the wanted her Swamp land to be separated from that Nr.; and that the holder of the Land Ramappa had no objection to the separation. The Dy: Commr observed "there must be a mistake somewhere in the accounts; In the Phut Pahani book old Nr. 116 is shewn as being included in Survey Nr. 4 and none in Survey Nr. 45; but that

12 March 1887.

this

this does not materially
affect the Petitioner;
that as Ramapaki
is willing to give up
some excess land,
the Amildar should
get the boundary
line marked out
as requested by the
Rev: Supt^e and for-
-ward the record to
the Survey Departmen
for disposal."

2 March 1888

The Amildar
reported to the Dy:
Comm^r that on
referring to the
number & to the
accounts it appears
that

8.

that Jurce Inam
bore Rs 116 measuring
Colajas 2 and 4 Paddies
and in a subse-
-quent Pymashy
in the year Rowdree
it measured 5 colajas;
that the extent for-
-merly under culti-
-vation was shown
in the accompany
-ing Map Marked
in blue pencil which
tallied exactly with
that given in the
old accounts with
2 Guntas in excess;
that the Mortgagee
wanted it to be
subdivided as far

as

as the line marked
in red pencil and
that if this was done
the extent of land
purchased by Hara
-wapu would be
less than that shown
in the old account
and suggested that
the portion marked
in Survey Nr. 4 and
45 might be sub-
-divided - The Sur-
-vey Supt. to whom
the above report
was forwarded
for disposal re-
-plied that from
the Amildari report
it

18 March 1888.

it appeared that Survey No 4 was confirmed as Inam and that a portion from Survey No 45 and yo should also be confirmed as Inam; that it is not clear from the above report whether the Amildar wanted to confirm No 4 as Inam or to be treated as Govt and asked to be informed how these 3 numbers stood, whether they were

measuring acres 3-33
which was Bungee
was taken up by
Ramapah on Kundaya
that Sheeha Bhutta,
the mortgagee of the
Inam represented
to the Dy Comm.
that he is willing
to pay up the arrear
and that the Inam
which was resumed
should be given out
to him - The Dy Comm.
upon this ordered
the Boskotta Curnidar
to comply with the
- Sheebhuttas request,
that

that the Mozundar
who was deputed
for this work se-
-parated Acres 3-13
out of Survey No. 4
and giving this
piece of land the
last Survey No 70
to be made over to
the Annandar ; that
this was lying waste
from the time it
was separated nor
was it made over
to the Annandar ;
that the former
cultivators Narainapa
and Ramapah
refused to cultivate
this

this piece, and suggests
that acres 2-20 out of
Survey Nr. 45 and 28
Guntas from Nr. 4
might be separated
to be given over to
the Inamdar and
the rest might be
made over to the
present holders. The
whole file was
16 May 1888 forwarded to the
Survey Supt. who
16 Augt 1888 replied that the
Amildar wanted
to separate 33 Guntas
from Survey Nr. 70
and acres 2-20 from
Survey Nr. 45 to be
given

given over to Aura-
-mapa; that this
Karampa who only
purchased the
land at the sale
was never in its
enjoyment nor had
the land been entered
in his Khati, nor
had he paid Kun-
-dayan; that this
Survey No. 45 was
in the enjoyment
of Dasappah and
Ramiah and at
the Survey Settlement
the Patta was given
to them; that this
land

Khatadar in the
Survey Accounts;
that on the complaint
of Mortgagee Shesha
Bhatta regarding his
Inam land it was
ordered (District Offices)
that the quit rent
should be collected
from him and the
Inam continued to
him; that this Inam
land before being
confirmed in the
Inamdars name was
in the enjoyment of
Karanapah the pur-
-chaser of the Banjar
land

land, and a portion
of the dusun in the
name of Ramapah
on Kundayeu; that
just then this portion
with other lands was
transferred to the
Kos Kotah Talak
and were the
cause for confusion
in the matter and
that on this account
no petta was issued
to the purchaser
Naraimapah, though
the land was in
his enjoyment; that
the purchaser

Naraimapah

Karunapa is willing
to pay up the arrear
Kundayem from
Vijaya 1887/88, but
that as the Kundayem
-dar Ramapa is
willing to part with
his land the arrear
Kundayem for the
piece of Incum land
included in his Kun-
-dayem land may
be collected from the
purchaser Karunapa
and a pitta issued
in his name; and
that this portion of the
Incum land ~~[illegible]~~ may
be

be deducted from Ramapahs Khata and the Inam land continued to the Inamdar - This was forwarded to the Survey Superintendent who replied that as it was entered in the accounts supplied during the Survey Settlement that Acres 2-27 of Survey No 4 was Moongas Sagurvali land and Acres 3-13 Bunjar, the latter was taken to be Inam and formed

27 Septr/56

6 December 1858.

formed into survey
No. 70; but that the
Amildar now wants
to subdivide 28 Gunt.
from No. 70 and Acres
2-20 from No. 45;
that this No. 45 being
in the enjoyment of
Ramnath since a
long time, cannot
be given over as
Inam even if Rama
consents to do so;
that as the Amildar
states that the land
purchased by Bara-
-napah is included
in survey No. 70 it
would

would be better to
give Saravanapah
the land purchased
by him out of Rs. 70
and leave the rest
for Celalama as
dewan land -

Sheshabhatta, the
mortgagee represented 1 December 1886.
to the Survey Supt.
that during the famine
the quit rent of the
dewan land fell in
arrears; that on un-
-derstanding the dewan
was attached on
account of the arrears
he had paid it and
resumed the land;

that

27

forwarded the Petition
and the whole file
to the Amildar to be
laid before the
Jamabandy Officer
for disposal - The
Dy Comr during
the Jamabandy
passed the following
order -

"The Amildar
cannot get back
all the land - He is
very lucky to get
back so much.
Carry out the instruc
-tions of the Survey
Department and report
The Amildar in
reply

reply reported that 28 Feby 1889
he had issued
instructions to the
Shaikdar to enter
Acres 2-27 out of
Survey No. 70 in Nara
napalli Khata and
the rest 26 Guntas
for Chalamas Inam
and solicited orders
to the Survey Dept.
to subdivide the No. 70.
The Survey Dept.
replied on reference 22 Octr 1889
that subdivision of
Nos is unnecessary
but that alterations
may be made in
the Taluk Accounts.

This

3/

a just order may
be passed in his
case -

A. H. Komarsamy Mr
22 May 1890.

No. 381

Mysore Dewan's Office,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

Official Memorandum.

LR 50-89-2

The Accompanying Petition No. 514, dated 8th October 1889 received at the Dewan's Office from Adiy Narasabhatta & Seshabhatta of Vodiyamballi, Devanhalli Talk, is forwarded, together with its six enclosures, to the Dy. Commr, Bangalore Dt, for enquiry & report.

Leave to withdraw petition

BANGALORE,
8th November 1889.

Drafted as in Cr order

By Order,
Secretary.

Mysore Government, Central Press, Bangalore.—25-7-89.—1,000.

(General Serial No. 32.)

1890.

No. 2763

Name of Petitioner. V Sesha Bhatta

Village. Vadigana halli

Taluk. Davanahalli

District. Bangalore

Date of Arzi. 2 - 3 - 90

Date of Receipt. 17 - 3 - 90

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil.

ABSTRACT.

petitioner has filed a certain appeal against the order of the Deputy Commissioner of Bangalore petitioner was informed by an endorsement that, that his has been forwarded to the D.C. Commissioner for submitting the records. prays to be intimated the hearing date and also for the early decision of the case as it was pending from 4 or 5 years in Dist: and Taluk offices.

C.H.B Regd 18/3/90

The D/C should be requested to submit the proceedings, without further delay -

BD 25/3

The petitioner is culpable unless many timed reminders every week after a fortnight interval from the first call. Which is the Head to blame?

25/3.

No 2763

3

(General Serial No. 32.)

1890.

No. 1132

Name of Petitioner. Shesha Batta

Village. Hodagankalli

Taluk. Davanhalli

District. Bangalore

Date of Arri. 13-8-90

Date of Receipt. 14-8-90

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures.

5

L.R. 5889-1

ABSTRACT.

Reminder to his former petitions Nos 1514 & 2763 of 1889-90 regarding the dispossession of his lands.

1132

31-3-90.

(General Serial No. 31.)

188

From

To

The Dy: Commr
Bangalore Dt

Dated 31st March 1890.

Dispatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 652.
No.

This office Memo No. 381.
8th November 1889.

Has the honor to invite at
tention to the above and to request
that the proceedings & the report
called
thereon for in connection with
Petition No. 15409 of 8th October last
from Adi Narnabhatta & Seshu
bhatta of Vodejenhalli, Devana-
halli Taluk, may be submitted
at once, without further delay.

By order

Under Secy.

(General Serial No. 31.)

1890

OFFICE OF THE
9 APR 90
DEWAN OF MYSORE.

From The Commissioner
Bangalore

To

The Chief Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~ Govt.
of Mysore.

Dated 3rd April 90.
Despatched
Received

Enclosures No. 1 file

General No.
No. 11

Chief Secretary's Official
Memo: No 381 dated 28th Novem
89 forwarding for
report and Submission
of proceedings, petitio
from Aude Narana
Shetta and Seeba
Shetta of Vadiyenchal
village.

In returning the
Enclosures received
with the above, ha

Read from the Secretariat Branch 28/4/90

Submitted to the Council 8/4/90

132
16-4-90

10.

the honor to forward
the proceedings together
with a copy of precis
connected with the
Swamp Land referred to
in the petition

Respectfully

Your Most Obedt

Official Memorandum

—o—

With reference to his Docket dated 3rd April 1890, No 11, the Dy: Commr: of Bangalore is requested to submit a clear report explaining the point of contention and the evidence in support of it, after examining the parties concerned if necessary.

2. The Papers received do not clearly shew the point at issue, but the Govt: gather that the question ~~was~~ relates to the identity of the Inam of Vobalamma now held by the mortgagee Sheshubhatta. The extent of the Inam, according to the old account, is 5 Kolagas or 2 and odd acres which, according to the report of the Amildar, appears to have been situated in Survey Nos 4 and 45. But the Survey Department have allowed only 2 guntas in No 4 as Inam and

---

2138/106 96-6-90
& 2 plans N P

L.R. 50-89

and disallowed the rest notwithstanding that the recepant of No 45 admits that his No includes the Inam and is willing to make it over to the Inamdar. The grounds of the decision are not clear. The Dy. Comm^r is requested to examine the old accounts and state where the Inam was originally situated and why portion of No 45 should not be now made over as Inam as recommended by the Amildar.

The Inam in question appears to have been once resumed and again made over to the Inamdar on the mortgagee agreeing to pay the arrears due. It should be stated when the Inam was resumed and when it was restored.

3. The Papers connected with the case are herewith returned with a request that they may be re-transmitted

with the Dy: Comm'rs reply
to this reference.

Draft

By order

Under Secretary



1890.

LR 50-89

From

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore Distt.

Dated 8th November 1890.

Despatched 12

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 9997
No. 362

This Office No. 2138 dated 6th June 1890, 106 calling for further information & report with reference to the Petition of Sesha Bhutta of Vadigarahalli Dasenhalli Taluk

~~2nd subsequent remainder marginally noted~~

Has the honor to draw attention to the above and to request an early reply.

Draft

"By Order,"

Rec'd 12/11/90.

D. No. 597.

Encl. 1 file

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore District
Dated 24th November 1890

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to your Official Memorandum No. 2138/106 dated 14th June last, I have the honor to inform you that one Yablamma held an Inam land in Palya village of the Devanhalli Taluk, but as she failed to pay the arrears of quit rent due from the year "Dhatu to "Bahudanya" it was brought to the notice of the then Deputy Commissioner Mr. Pickett during the Huzur Jamabandi in January 80, who passed orders on the Tahsildar Tahsildar that the Inam should be resumed

after the fact being communicated to the Inam Department, accordingly the necessary alterations were made in Form No. 11 of that year. Subsequently the arrears were collected from the Inamdar in the Chicka Ballapur Taluk and remitted to the Taluk Treasury. In the year Tarana when applications were received for this land, the petitioner Sesha bhatta put forward his claims stating that the land was mortgaged to him by the Inamdar and that he would pay up the arrears due on this land from the date of its resumption, if the Inam is continued to him. After enquiring into the matter, Major Jacintue the then Deputy Commissioner made over the Inam to the petitioner

in April 1886.

2. A reference was made to the Survey number Jhadati and other accounts by the Amildar in the presence of the Inamdar and other villagers and the Inam was identified to have been situated between S.No. 4 and 45 and the same being waste at the Survey, 27 guntas of it was included in Survey S.No. 4 and 2 acres and 26 guntas in S.No. 45. I see no objection to this being made over to the petitioner now.

3. The vernacular papers are herewith resubmitted for reference and obliging return.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
[signature]
for Deputy Commissioner.

W.H.

No. 11747-8
141

P. 919.

26-1-91
27

Read

Letter from the Dy. Comm^r Bangalore Dt., dated 24th March 91, No. 597.

Order thereon

From the papers received with the letter read above, it appears that the area of the Inam, which the Petr. Sheshabhatta claims, is according to the Inam Register, Dry K.C.P. acres 1-2-4 or 1.22. It had been resumed for arrears of revenue, but was ordered in 1886 to be restored to Petr. as he agreed to pay the arrears due. In restoring the Inam, the Survey Dept. treated only 27 guntas of Survey No. 4 as Inam, while Petr. claims a much larger area. The Dy. Comm^r now reports that according to the number of the Inam, the actual area of the Inam is 3.13 consisting of portions of the numbers noted in the margin, and that there is no objection to its being made over to Petitioner. The Govt accordingly

x
No 4 ——— .27
No 45 ——— 2.26
3.13

accordingly) authorize the grant of the above area, and request the Supdt. of Rev: Survey to issue the necessary orders.

W. 23/11/19
AR.

Under Secy

To
The Supdt. of Rev: Survey
" Dy: Commr, Bangalore Dt,
with the corr: papers.

The Supdt of Revenue Survey
& Dy. Commr Bangalore

2nd

2nd - January 91

No 11747-8 / P. 919
141

Authorising the grant of
the actual area of Inam - 3·13
acres as recommended by Dy. Comr
to Petitioner Phes habdatta

No. 1514

Vellant

Shabhatta of Vodigenhalli

Devanhalli Taluk.

Endorsement.

In reply to his Petition dated 8th October 1889 Petitioner is informed that the Supdt. of Rev: Survey has been requested to allot to him (Petr.) 2 gunthas of No 44, and 2.26 of No 45.

~~is informed that~~

The enclos: are herewith returned

23/11/91.

Mysore Dewan's Office,
Petition Department,
26th Jany 1891.

By Order

Secretary.